Application Information *Name of the officer proposing project on behalf of host organization (Applications must be proposed by the Office of Sponsored Research or by a similarly authorized officer of a U.S. or international institution of higher education or non-profit research organization.)*			
Organization:			
Salutation:			
First Name:		**Last Name:**	
Email Address:		**Title or authorization**	

Proposal Details	
Proposal Title *(60 characters including spaces)*	
Project summarized by *For example, a qualified Principal Investigator who could lead the project*	

Project Proposal summary
A short description of the scientific project and desired outcome (maximum 500 words)

The Kavli Foundation sometimes seeks to amplify impact by partnering with other funders. Granting permission to share this application is optional and is not considered as a criterion in the review process.

☐ I authorize The Kavli Foundation to share this proposal with other potential funders

☐ Do not share this proposal with other potential funders

Would you be open to discussion to collaborate with other synergistic projects from this call?

☐ Check to indicate potential interest in discussing collaboration options

Supporting Materials

- **Letter of proposal from the host organization**
- **Scope of Work Document** not to exceed 4000 words, word count does not include bibliography. The format is not specified by the most compelling documents will concisely address:
  - Core scientific question
  - Importance and impact
  - Transformative potential
  - Research approach and management plan, including details on collaborations (if applicable). Collaborative projects, including multi-organization collaborations, are encouraged
  - Key risks and learning from "failure"
  - Decisive tests or exploratory activities
- **Proposed budget with line-itemized costs: salary, travel, equipment, data management, supplies, or other research expenses**
- **Proposed initial project timeline** with milestones and suggested evaluation checkpoints, including criteria for success and recommended accomplishments by the end of the project. The timeline will demonstrate that a concrete and rational plan has been established to manage the project, but should not be excessive in detail.

Additional forward guidance

Proposals will be evaluated based on scientific impact, innovation, boldness and thoughtfulness of project design, alignment with the Foundation's principles, and adherence to eligibility criteria. The most compelling proposals will:

- be driven by a specific, well-defined scientific question(s), not just a method, platform, or application
- work to produce enduring, foundational knowledge
- address important gaps or barriers blocking progress in NNMs
- generate insights that are broadly useful beyond a single system
- have the potential to open new directions of redefine existing directions
- challenge existing assumptions
- explore or enable unconventional ideas or approaches
- pursue questions that are difficult or currently intractable
- represent a step-change in thinking, more than extend existing approaches
- demonstrate thoughtful engagement with risk; risk is expected and encouraged but strong proposals will demonstrate an intellectual control of that risk, including explaining why the risks are scientifically meaningful
- convey compelling evidence that Kavli Foundation support might be catalytic for progress that might not otherwise occur or stimulate broader engagement and impact
- a proposal is competitive if it might "fail" for interesting reasons
- outline a project design that could generate decisive scientific insight, including experiments, models or analyses that have the potential to test core ideas or answer core questions
- demonstrate alignment between scientific ambition and requested resources
- leverage collaboration and complementary expertise to work effectively towards the common goals, where this could enable or accelerate progress
- efforts to broaden, strengthen or extend the scientific community are valued
- A proposal may be highly competitive even if feasibility is uncertain or outcomes are difficult to predict, provided that the scientific question is compelling and the potential insight is significant.